

# CSE331 Introduction to Algorithms

## Lecture 2: Asymptotic Notations

Antoine Vigneron  
antoine@unist.ac.kr

Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology

July 11, 2017

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Little-o notation
- 3  $O$ -notation
- 4 Big- $\Omega$  Notation
- 5 Big- $\Theta$  Notation
- 6 Further Comments

# Introduction

- References:
  - ▶ Lecture notes posted on blackboard.
  - ▶ Chapter I-3: *Growth of Functions* of the textbook presents it differently.
- We will consider real-valued functions of integer variables.
  - ▶ Can also be seen as *sequences*.
- Usually values will be positive as we are interested in running times.
- We will study their *asymptotic* behavior, i.e. at infinity.
- In particular, we will introduce the *asymptotic notations*

$$o(\cdot), O(\cdot), \Theta(\cdot), \Omega(\cdot)$$

# Little-o Notation

## Definition (Little-o notation)

We write  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  if and only if there exists a function  $\rho(n)$  such that  $f(n) = \rho(n)g(n)$  for all  $n$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(n) = 0$ .

- Simpler definition if  $g(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n$ :

$$f(n) = o(g(n)) \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0.$$

- We do not even need  $g(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . It suffices, for instance, that  $g(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n > 100$ .
- Example:  $n = o(n^2)$ , because  $n/n^2 = 1/n \rightarrow 0$ .

# Motivation

- Worst case running times:

$$\text{Insertion Sort: } T_1(n) = a_1n^2 + b_1n + c_1$$

$$\text{Merge Sort: } T_3(n) = a_3n \log n + b_3n$$

where  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_3 > 0$ ,  $b_1, b_3, c_1$  are unknown constants.

- For instance, we could have  $T_1(n) = 4n^2 - 3n + 6$  and  $T_3(n) = 8n \log n + 7n$ .
- In any case, regardless of the values of these constants,  $T_3(n)/T_1(n) \rightarrow 0$  and thus  $T_3(n) = o(T_1(n))$ .
- It shows that Merge Sort is much faster than Insertion Sort for large values of  $n$ , i.e. for sorting a large array.
- So the  $o(\cdot)$  notation allows us to compare these functions without even knowing the constants.

## Examples

$$1/n + 1/n^2 = o(1)$$

$$2n + 5 = o(n^2)$$

$$10n \log n + 7n + 5 = o(n^2)$$

$$n^2 + 2n + 1 = o(n^3)$$

$$n^{10} + 5n^3 = o(2^n)$$

$$2^n + 3^n + 4^n = o(n!)$$

- What does  $f(n) = o(1)$  mean?
- It means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = 0$ .

# Reformulation of the Definition

## Definition

We say that  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  if, for every real number  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $n_0$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $|f(n)| \leq \varepsilon|g(n)|$ .

- Using quantifiers:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists n_0 : n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow |f(n)| \leq \varepsilon|g(n)|$$

- Example: Prove that  $2n + 5 = o(n^2)$ .

# Properties

## Proposition

For all real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$ ,

- (a)  $\log n = o(n^\alpha)$  whenever  $\alpha > 0$ .
- (b)  $n^\alpha = o(n^\beta)$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ .
- (c)  $n^\beta = o(\gamma^n)$  whenever  $\gamma > 1$ .
- (d)  $\gamma^n = o(n!)$

The relation  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  is sometimes denoted  $f(n) \prec g(n)$ . (*Hardy* notation.) So the proposition above can be rewritten:

$$\log n \prec n^\alpha \prec n^\beta \prec \gamma^n \prec n! \quad \text{whenever } 0 < \alpha < \beta \text{ and } \gamma > 1$$



# Properties

## Proposition

For every functions  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , and for every constant  $\lambda > 0$ ,

- (i)  $f(n) = o(h(n))$  implies  $\lambda f(n) = o(h(n))$ .
- (ii)  $f(n) = o(h(n))$  and  $g(n) = o(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) + g(n) = o(h(n))$ .
- (iii)  $f(n) = o(h(n))$  implies  $f(n)g(n) = o(g(n)h(n))$ .
- (iv)  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = o(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) = o(h(n))$ .

- Example: Prove that  $5n^2 + 2n + 4 = o(n^3)$  using these properties.

## O-Notation

- Suppose Insertion Sort and Bubble Sort have running times

$$T_1(n) = 4n^2 - 3n + 6 \text{ and } T_2(n) = 3n^2 + 6n - 2.$$

- Do we have  $T_1(n) = o(T_2(n))$  or  $T_2(n) = o(T_1(n))$ ?
  - ▶ No, both statements are wrong, because  $T_1(n)/T_2(n) \rightarrow 4/3$ .
- The big-O notation allows to compare these two functions:

### Definition

We write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  if there exist two constants  $c > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $|f(n)| \leq c|g(n)|$ .

- Difference with  $o(\cdot)$ :  
“There *exists* a constant  $c$ ” instead of “For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ”

## O-Notation




Figure:  $f(n) = O(g(n))$

- The relation  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  gives an *asymptotic upper bound* on  $f(n)$ .
- Intuition:  $f(n)$  is at most a constant factor times  $g(n)$  for large enough  $n$ .

## Examples

- Let  $T_1(n) = 4n^2 - 3n + 6$  and  $T_2(n) = 3n^2 + 6n - 2$ .
- Prove that  $T_1(n) = O(T_2(n))$ .
- Remark:  $T_2(n) = O(T_1(n))$  is also true.
- $f(n) = O(1)$  means that  $f(n)$  is *bounded*: there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|f(n)| \leq C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In computer science, we rather say that  $f(n)$  is *constant* when  $f(n) = O(1)$ .

# Properties

## Proposition

For all functions  $f, g, h, \varphi$  and for all constant  $\lambda > 0$ ,

- (i)  $f(n) = O(f(n))$
- (ii)  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  implies  $f(n) = O(g(n))$ .
- (iii)  $f(n) = O(h(n))$  implies  $\lambda f(n) = O(h(n))$ .
- (iv)  $f(n) = O(h(n))$  and  $g(n) = O(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) + g(n) = O(h(n))$ .
- (v)  $f(n) = O(h(n))$  and  $g(n) = O(\varphi(n))$  implies  $f(n)g(n) = O(h(n)\varphi(n))$ .
- (vi)  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = O(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) = o(h(n))$ .
- (vii)  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = o(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) = o(h(n))$ .
- (viii)  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = O(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) = O(h(n))$ .

# Interpretation

- Hardy's notation: We write

$$f(n) \prec g(n) \text{ iff } f(n) = o(g(n))$$

$$f(n) \preceq g(n) \text{ iff } f(n) = O(g(n))$$

- Then (i) means:  $f(n) \preceq f(n)$ .
- (viii) means:  $f(n) \preceq g(n)$  and  $g(n) \preceq h(n)$  implies  $f(n) \preceq h(n)$ .
- (vii) means:  $f(n) \preceq g(n)$  and  $g(n) \prec h(n)$  implies  $f(n) \prec h(n)$ .
- So  $o(\cdot)$  is similar with  $<$ , and  $O(\cdot)$  is similar with  $\leq$ .


# Applications

- Exercise: Prove that  $f(n) = 5n^3 - 2n^2 + 5$  satisfies  $f(n) = O(n^3)$  using the properties above.
- More generally:

## Proposition

*We say that  $f(n)$  is a degree- $d$  polynomial in  $n$  if there are constants  $a_0, \dots, a_d$  such that  $a_d \neq 0$ , and  $f(n) = a_d n^d + a_{d-1} n^{d-1} + \dots + a_1 n + a_0$  for all  $n$ . If  $f(n)$  satisfies these conditions, then  $f(n) = O(n^d)$ .*

# Big-Ω Notation



## Definition


We write  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  if there exist two constants  $c > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $|f(n)| \geq c|g(n)|$ . In other words,  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$  means that  $g(n) = O(f(n))$ .



# Big- $\Omega$ Notation

- It means that  $f(n)$  is *at least* a constant factor times  $g(n)$  for large enough  $n$ .
- $g(n)$  is an *asymptotic lower bound* on  $f(n)$ .
- Example: It can be proved that any sorting algorithm takes  $\Omega(n \log n)$  time in the worst case. It means that the worst-case running time of any sorting algorithm is at least  $cn \log n$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .

# Big- $\Theta$ Notation



## Definition

We write  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  if there exist three constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $c_1|g(n)| \leq |f(n)| \leq c_2|g(n)|$ . In other words,  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  if and only if  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  and  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ .

## Big- $\Theta$ Notation

- Interpretation:  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  if  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  are within a constant factor from each other for large enough  $n$ .
- We say that  $g(n)$  is an *asymptotically tight bound* for  $f(n)$ .
- Let  $T_1(n)$  and  $T_2(n)$  be defined as in 12:

$$T_1(n) = 4n^2 - 3n + 6 \text{ and } T_2(n) = 3n^2 + 6n - 2.$$

Then we have  $T_1(n) = \Theta(T_2(n))$ .

# Properties

## Proposition

The relation  $\Theta$  is an *equivalence relation*:

- $f(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ . (Reflexivity)
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  iff  $g(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ . (Symmetry)
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  and  $g(n) = \Theta(h(n))$  implies  $f(n) = \Theta(h(n))$ . (Transitivity)

## Proof.

Follows immediately from the definition. □

# Properties

## Proposition

- (i) Let  $\lambda \neq 0$  be a constant. Then  $\lambda f(n) = \Theta(f(n))$ .
- (ii) If  $f_1(n) = \Theta(g_1(n))$  and  $f_2(n) = \Theta(g_2(n))$ , then  $f_1(n)f_2(n) = \Theta(g_1(n)g_2(n))$ .
- (iii) If  $f(n) = o(g(n))$ , then  $f(n) + g(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ .
- (iv) If there exists  $n_0$  such that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq g(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $f(n) + g(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ .
- (v) If  $f(n)$  is a degree- $d$  polynomial, then  $f(n) = \Theta(n^d)$ .

- Proofs in lecture notes.

# Absolute Values

- The definitions of  $O(\cdot)$ ,  $\Theta(\cdot)$ , and  $\Omega(\cdot)$  use absolute values  $|f(n)|$  and  $|g(n)|$  instead of  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$ .
- In this course, most functions are running times, so they are always positive.
- Only lower-order terms can be negative.
- So you may think of all the function as being positive, and ignore absolute values.

## Lower Order Terms

- $f(n) = O(n^2)$  means exactly the same as  $f(n) = O(7n^2 - 5n + 12)$ .
- Similarly,  $\Theta(n^3)$  is the same as  $\Theta(2n^3 + 3n \log n - 5)$ .
- $o(5)$  is the same as  $o(1)$ .
- In practice: Always remove constant factors and *lower order terms*, as they play no role, and can lead to mistakes.

# Asymptotic Notation in Equations

- Example 1

- ▶ The recurrence relation for the worst-case running time  $T(n)$  of merge sort can be written:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n).$$

- ▶ It means that there is a function  $f$  such that  $f(n) = \Theta(n)$  and

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + f(n).$$

- Example 2

- ▶  $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n O(i)$
- ▶ It means that there is a function  $g(n) = O(n)$  such that  $S(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n g(i)$ .
- ▶ So  $S(n) = O(n^2)$ .



# Algorithms Analysis

- We will use asymptotic notations to analyze algorithms running times.
- Examples:

Algorithm	Worst-case running time on input of size $n$
Binary Search	$\Theta(\log n)$
Linear Search	$\Theta(n)$
Merge Sort	$\Theta(n \log n)$
Insertion Sort	$\Theta(n^2)$

- Unknown constants and lower order-terms disappear.
- $\Theta(\cdot)$  says that there is a tight bound. For instance, the table above shows that there are two constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2$  such that the worst case running time of Insertion Sort is at least  $c_1 n^2$  and at most  $c_2 n^2$  for large enough  $n$ .
- Often these bounds are given using  $O(\cdot)$ , so only an upper bound is claimed.

## Algorithms Analysis: Example

Algorithm	Worst-case running time	Bound
Insertion Sort	$T_1(n) = 4n^2 - 3n + 6$	$\Theta(n^2)$
Bubble Sort	$T_2(n) = 3n^2 + 6n - 2$	$\Theta(n^2)$
Merge Sort	$T_3(n) = 8n \log n + 7n$	$\Theta(n \log n)$




Figure:  $T_3(n) = o(T_1(n))$ ,  $T_3(n) = o(T_2(n))$ ,  $T_1(n) = \Theta(T_2(n))$

## Classes of Running Times

Time bound	Class name
$T(n) = O(1)$	Constant
$T(n) = O(\log n)$	Logarithmic
$T(n) = O(n)$	Linear
$T(n) = O(n^2)$	Quadratic
$T(n) = O(n^k)$	Polynomial
$T(n) = O(2^{n^k})$	Exponential

Table:  $n$  is the input size,  $k$  is a constant.

- Example: We say that the running time of Insertion Sort is *quadratic*.
- Next slide shows that these classes are very different.

## Classes of Running Times




Figure:  $\log n \prec n \prec n \log n \prec n^2 \prec 2^n$ .

# Classes of Running Times

- It is often desirable to find a *polynomial-time* algorithm for the problem being considered.
  - ▶ That is, we want the worst-case running time to be  $O(n^d)$  for some constant  $d$ .
- On the other hand, *exponential time* algorithms are often considered too slow. In particular, if the worst case running time is  $\Omega(2^n)$ , we can only solve small instances of the problem.
- Unfortunately, for many problems, the best known algorithms are exponential. In particular, it is true for **NP**-hard problems. (Will be described later this semester.)